

Automatically Generating Metadata for Digital Photographs with Geographic Coordinates

Mor Naaman, Yee Jiun Song, Andreas Paepcke, Hector Garcia-Molina
Stanford University

{mor, yeejiun, paepcke, hector}@cs.stanford.edu

ABSTRACT

Given location information on digital photographs, we can automatically generate an abundance of photo-related metadata using off-the-shelf and web-based data sources. These metadata can serve as additional memory cues and filters when browsing a personal or global collection of photos.

Categories and Subject Descriptors

H.5.1 [Information Systems Applications]: Information Interfaces and Presentation—*Multimedia Information Systems*

General Terms

Human Factors, Algorithms

1. INTRODUCTION

Augmenting a collection of digital photographs with contextual information about the images it contains is often beneficial – especially as images, like other multimedia documents, are inherently hard to index or search otherwise. Users can utilize these contextual cues when browsing the collection. However, it is tedious to add such context manually.

Advancements in technology have made it feasible to add location as well as time information to digital photographs, namely the exact coordinates and time where each photo was taken. Using time and location, we can augment the photographs with additional contextual information.

We have developed [1] a system for organizing, browsing and generating metadata for geo-referenced photo collections. Figure 1 shows all the different metadata facets the system *automatically* generates, as they appear in the opening screen of the interface. The interface was produced using the Flamenco toolkit [2].

When browsing the collection, users can filter the photos based on any of the metadata facets and their combination. As shown in Figure 1, the metadata in each facet is divided into groups. For example, the Location facet is divided into countries. Clicking on one country will advance the user to the next screen, filtering the collection to show pictures from the selected country. Clicking on “Clear” in the Weather Status facet will similarly restrict the collection to photos that were taken in clear weather.

Copyright is held by the author/owner(s).

WWW2004, May 17–20, 2004, New York, New York, USA.

Location	Time of Day
Cambodia (151)	Afternoon (1574)
France (167)	Evening (650)
Hungary (176)	Late night (28)
Israel (670)	Morning (924)
Italy (146)	Night (508)
Sri lanka (513)	
Thailand (80)	
United states (1823)	
Altitude	Weather Status
-1000–1 (327)	Clear (217)
-2000–1001 (36)	Haze (117)
0–999 (2425)	Heavy rain (6)
1000–1999 (152)	Light rain (130)
10000–10999 (85)	Mist (57)
11000–11999 (59)	Mostly cloudy (155)
12000–12999 (37)	Overcast (75)
13000–13999 (40)	Partly cloudy (268)
14000–14999 (33)	Patches of fog (2)
2000–2999 (53)	Rain (9)
3000–3999 (43)	Scattered clouds (232)
4000–4999 (57)	
more...	
Season	Temperature
Autumn (sep 21st - dec 20th) (1007)	20–40 (87)
Spring (march 21st - june 20th) (953)	40–60 (687)
Summer (june 21st - sep 20th) (1060)	
Winter (dec 21st - march 20th) (686)	
Light Status	Time Zone
Dawn (47)	-5 (8)
Day (2369)	-7 (180)
Dusk (495)	-8 (1635)
Night (789)	1 (489)
	2 (670)
	5 (513)
	7 (211)

Figure 1: The system’s metadata facets (Clicking on any link displays respective photos).

In Figure 1 we only see the first level grouping for each facet. For some facets, further refinements of the groups are available. For the Location facet, once users click on a country, e.g. “United States”, they are able to further refine their filter to, e.g., “San Francisco”.

Any combination of filters is also possible. Users can restrict the viewed set, for example, to photos taken in San Francisco, when the weather was clear, in near-freezing temperature (Temperature facet, “20–40” group).

The metadata we generated thus adds value to any photo collection: users have plenty of additional contextual information when they browse and search for photos. Moreover, this value is added without any user intervention: our system utilizes the location and time data embedded in the photographs to generate all the other metadata.

In this paper we address the problem of finding available sources for relevant and useful contextual information. We show how we get the information from the various sources, and how we adapt it for the user interface. All the metadata we generate is available either from off-the-shelf geographic datasets, or from various web based sources.

2. METADATA CATEGORIES

This section describes the metadata facets, how we generated each, and how we use them in the interface.

Location. An off-the-shelf geographical dataset enables us

to query with a (*latitude, longitude*) pair to get the containing country, province/state, and (for the United States only) county, city (if any) and park (if any). We can use the query results to generate a location hierarchy, for example, a Country → State → City hierarchy. Alternatively, we can first group the photos into geographic *clusters* that are expected to make sense to users, but do not necessarily correspond to the administrative division. Then we can assign names to these clusters using the aforementioned dataset. In [1] we show how the latter method applies to *personal* photo collections.

Given a location hierarchy, users can click through and navigate, at various levels of granularity, to photos from a specific location. Figure 1 shows the first level of this hierarchy, the country level. Clicking on any country will show the next level down the hierarchy for this country.

Time of Day. Knowledge about the time of day a photo was shot can be used when searching for an image in a collection (e.g., one remembers a certain photo was taken late at night). In most cases, however, users set their camera clock once, usually when they operate the camera for the first time. The pitfall appears when the user travels to a different time zone. Most of the users do not bother resetting their camera’s clock, resulting in timestamps that inaccurately portray the time the picture was taken.

This problem can be solved given the location information where each photo was taken and the original time zone according to which the camera clock was set. This information is sufficient to compute the local time for each photo, using a geographical dataset containing the world’s time zones. The dataset can be queried by the photo coordinates, returning the correct time zone for the photo.

Given an exact, dependable local time, we can utilize it to help the user search for photos by “time of day”. Users are not likely to remember the exact hour each photo was taken. Therefore, we group photos by the major parts of the day, as shown in Figure 1: Morning, Afternoon, Evening, etc. Users can click any of the groups to filter the photo collection accordingly.

Light Status. People’s perception of the time is sometimes not derived from the local time, but rather from the daylight status. For example, people may recall a certain picture was taken when it was dark outside; around sunset; before sunrise; etc.

Given the local time and location for each photo, we can find how many minutes away from the sunset and sunrise each picture was taken. The data source we use is the US Naval Observatory web service¹. The service returns sunset and sunrise times for a (*year, latitude, longitude*) query. Each reply contains data for a full year, and can be re-used across different photos in the same latitude and longitude.

As shown in Figure 1, we group photos into *day, dusk; night* and *dawn*. Users can click on one of these groups to filter pictures according to the daylight status. In our current implementation, the dusk category includes all photos taken within one hour before or after sunset; the night category includes all photos taken one hour after sunset to one hour before sunrise, and so on.

Weather Status and Temperature. Often, people can filter photos using weather information: they recall a certain event occurred on a stormy night (even if it was indoors),

another event on a clear day, etc. In addition, people may remember the outside temperature at the time the picture was taken (“it was freezing!”).

We use the Weather Underground² web service to get weather information. Historic data can be queried by a (*zip-code, date*) pair or a (*weather station, date*) pair for weather outside the United States. We have geographic datasets that allow us to translate any (*latitude, longitude*) pair into a zip code or weather station. The results of a query to the server can be used for all photos taken in the same day and same area, reducing the required number of queries.

The weather data we get for each day is an hourly report of the weather conditions (e.g., “rainy”, “clear”) and temperature. We annotate each photo with all weather conditions that appear between two hours before and after the photo. The temperature is computed as the average of temperatures measured in the hours around the photo time.

In the interface, users can click on a temperature range (20–40, 40–60, etc.) or on a specific weather condition to limit their search for photos, as shown in Figure 1.

Additional Facets. Other metadata facets that appear in Figure 1 are: elevation – available either from the GPS data or from an earth elevation model; season (autumn, winter, spring, summer) – by the date in which the photo was taken. We also show the time zone (offset from GMT) as a separate category in addition to using it to compute local time.

3. WEB SERVICE

We have implemented a web service to supply a subset of the metadata described in this paper to users. The service can be queried by latitude and longitude, or by latitude, longitude and time. The reply consists of place names, local time, and daylight status data. To use this web service, contact the authors. As of today, the service does not support weather as our current data source is a private company.

4. SUMMARY

We showed some practical ways to automatically add metadata to geo- and time-referenced photographs using web-available and off-the-shelf data sources. This metadata serves as contextual information, when browsing and searching for photographs in a collection. We are working on conducting user experiments to test the usefulness of the metadata. Additional metadata can be automatically generated using available image processing techniques, for example indoor/outdoor categorization, number of faces in the image, and prominent colors.

Finally, the metadata we describe is not specific to photographs - it can be used for other types of geo-temporal collections, e.g. geo-referenced news reports.

5. REFERENCES

- [1] M. Naaman, Y. J. Song, A. Paepcke, and H. G. Molina. Automatic organization for digital photographs with geographic coordinates. In *Proceedings of the Fourth ACM/IEEE-CS Joint Conference on Digital Libraries*, 2004.
- [2] K.-P. Yee, K. Swearingen, K. Li, and M. Hearst. Faceted metadata for image search and browsing. In *Proceedings of the conference on Human factors in computing systems*, pages 401–408. ACM Press, 2003.

¹<http://aa.usno.navy.mil/>

²<http://www.wunderground.com/>